IN THE HIGHc COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RFA NO.959 of 2000

DATE OF DECISION: December 13, 2006

Rajinder Singh and another

….Appellants

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

….

Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL

PRESENT: Ms. Monika Jalota and S/Shri Rakesh Gupta, G.S.Sandhawalia,N.L.Sammi, S.K.Pruthi Advocate for the appellants.
Shri Sukhdip Singh Brar, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.
Shri Santosh Kumar Sharma and Shri Akshay Bhan, Advocates for M/s Nahar Industrial Infrastructure Corpn. Ltd.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

This order shall dispose of a batch of first appeals. All the appeals arise out of common acquisition proceedings qua which the notification dated November 13, 1992 was issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894. Whereas, the claimant-land owners have filed the appeals claiming further enhancement of compensation for their acquired land, the remaining appeals have been filed by M/s. Nahar Industries Infrastructure Corporation Limited, the Company who was allotted the acquired land for setting up the Industrial Focal Point. The Company has claimed reduction in the assessment of the market value. Some of the claimant-land owners have also filed the cross objections in the appeals filed by the

company. The aforesaid cross objections are also being disposed of through the present order.

The learned counsel appearing for the parties very fairly inform the Court that the controversy in the present appeal is squarely covered by a judgment dated May 3, 2006 passed in Regular First Appeal No.1072 of 1999 (Om Parkash and another v. State of Punjab and others).

I have perused the judgment in **Om Parkash's** case (supra). I find that the controversy in the present appeal is indeed covered by a judgment of **Om Parkash's** case (supra).

Consequently, all the appeals and cross objections are disposed of in terms of the judgment dated may 3, 2006 passed in RFA No.1072 of 1999.

December 13, 2006 (Viney Mittal)
KD Judge